

Earnest E. Vaughn, Sr.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil Action No. 8:17-cv-2232-TLW-KFM
vs.	)	
	)	
Larry Robert Byrd, a.k.a. Robbie Byrd,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
And Greenwood County,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In conducting its review, the Court applies the following standard:

**1**

addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

*Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia*, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations omitted).

In light of the standard set forth in *Wallace*, the Court has reviewed, *de novo*, the Report, the applicable law, and the Objections. The Court notes that the Objections lack any factual or legal basis upon which to grant Plaintiff's motions. It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Report, ECF No. 53, is **ACCEPTED**, and Plaintiff's Objections, ECF No. 59, are hereby **OVERRULED**. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, Plaintiff's Motion, ECF No. 42, is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten  
TERRY L. WOOTEN  
Chief United States District Judge

February 28, 2018  
Columbia, South Carolina